UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/597,721	08/04/2006	Jieru Chen	NFE-110 US	5398	
56352 GLOBAL IP SI	7590 03/27/200 ERVICES	9	EXAMINER		
7285 W. Eagle Winton, CA 953	Court		HAYES, KRISTEN C		
winton, CA 95.	200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3643		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/27/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary			7,721	CHEN, JIERU		
			ner	Art Unit		
		KRISTI	EN C. HAYES	3643		
T Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this commu eply	nication appears on	the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHOR WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F VER IS LONGER, FROM THE N s of time may be available under the provision (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com od for reply is specified above, the maximum sreply within the set or extended period for repl received by the Office later than three months itent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a red d will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ Th 3)⊡ Sir	sponsive to communication(s) files is action is FINAL . Ince this application is in condition sed in accordance with the pract	2b)∏ This action is for allowance exce	s non-final. ept for formal matte	-	e merits is	
Disposition	of Claims					
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	aim(s) 1, 3-5, 7 is/are pending in Of the above claim(s) is/a aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) 1, 3-5, 7 is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restri Papers	are withdrawn from				
9) <u></u> Th∈	specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.				
Ap Re	e drawing(s) filed on is/are olicant may not request that any objection placement drawing sheet(s) including oath or declaration is objected to	ection to the drawing(s g the correction is req	s) be held in abeyan uired if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 C		
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No(s	tummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 		

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the top cover being pivoted and supporting set must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "13" has been used to designate both top cover and tent. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is

Art Unit: 3643

being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. In addition to Replacement Sheets containing the corrected drawing figure(s), applicant is required to submit a marked-up copy of each Replacement Sheet including annotations indicating the changes made to the previous version. The marked-up copy must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheets" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)(1). Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing and marked-up copy will result in the abandonment of the application.

Claim Objections

- 4. Claim 1 line 6 should be changed from "back side of" to --a back side of--.
- 5. Claim 1 line 13 should be changed to --<u>a</u> human fixed--.
- 6. In claim 4, "wherein" should be deleted.

Specification

7. The amendment filed 01/07/2009 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: newly numbered element 131 being a pivot is not previously disclosed by the specification.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Art Unit: 3643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 9. Claims 1, 3-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The added limitation of the "top cover being pivoted in a supporting set located at the back board" is not previously mentioned by the disclosure.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 11. Claims 1, 3-5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 12. Claim 1 recites the limitation of the top cover being pivoted. However, the drawings show the top cover as element 13, which does not appear to pivot. It appears that the top tent, element 18 pivots.
- 13. Claim 1 recites the limitation of a "foot stepping driving device powered by human fixed under said floor". It is unclear if the driving device or human is fixed under the floor.

Art Unit: 3643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claims 1, 3, 5 and 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roy US 6,267,080 in view of Stornant US 7,036,458 (previously cited).
- 16. Regarding claim 1, Roy discloses a human-powered environment-protective sightseeing carriage comprising wheels (21), a compartment having a floor (4), a front board, a back board, two side boards (Roy, Figure 3), and a top cover (14); vessels for food and water provided in the compartment (Roy, column 2: lines 17-18), a hole (8) on the floor whit a dropping tray (15) under the hole, and a foot stepping device (22) powered by a human fixed under the floor (Rov. column 2: lines 51-53). Not disclosed is a back side of the top cover being pivoted or carriage comprising three wheels. However, the examiner takes official notice that tri-wheeled carriages are known in the art (as evidenced by US 6,913,271; US 5,344,171; US 4,796,565). Furthermore, it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8. Stornant teaches a top cover (46) being pivoted in a supporting set located at the back board. It would have been obvios to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the top cover of Stornant so that it pivoted as to provide an easy access to the compartment and to modify the number of wheels of Roy depending on the amount of wheels that would allow the device to be easily and stably rolled.
- 17. Regarding claim 3, Roy further discloses the top cover being made of transparent material (Roy, column 4: lines 26-31).

Art Unit: 3643

18. Regarding claim 5, Roy further discloses a flat board (19) may be put on said floor and said hole (Roy, column 4: lines 6-11).

- 19. Regarding claim 7, Roy further discloses one of the side boards having a small door (5).
- 20. Claim 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roy US 6,267,080 in view of Stornant US 7,036,458 as applied to claims 1, 3, 5 and 7 above and in further view of Leader et al US 5,113,793.
- 21. Regarding claim 4, Roy further discloses the top cover being made of transparent material (Roy, column 4: line 26-31). Roy does not disclose a top tent. Leader et al. discloses a pet carriage with a top tent (2) covering a top cover (21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Roy to include a top tent, as taught by Leader et al., so that the pet and device would be protected from elements such as rain and sun.

Response to Arguments

- 22. Applicant's arguments filed 01/07/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 23. As to the applicant's argument that Roy does not disclose a hole on the floor with a dropping tray under the hole, the examiner maintains the rejection. Roy discloses a hole (8) in the floor (4) with a dropping tray (15) underneath the hole.
- 24. Leader is still seen as disclosing a top tent over a top cover.

Art Unit: 3643

Conclusion

25. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KRISTEN C. HAYES whose telephone number is (571)270-3093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on (571)272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KCH 23 March 2009 Peter Poon Examiner Art Unit 3643

/Peter M. Poon/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3643